Frequency bandwidth extension by use of multiple Zeeman field offsets for electron spin-echo EPR oxygen imaging of large objects
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Purpose: Electron spin-echo (ESE) oxygen imaging is a new and evolving electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) imaging (EPRI) modality that is useful for physiological in vivo applications, such as EPR oxygen imaging (EPROI), with potential application to imaging of multicentimeter objects as large as human tumors. A present limitation on the size of the object to be imaged at a given resolution is the frequency bandwidth of the system, since the location is encoded as a frequency offset in ESE imaging. The authors’ aim in this study was to demonstrate the object size advantage of the multioffset bandwidth extension technique.

Methods: The multiple-stepped Zeeman field offset (or simply multi-B) technique was used for imaging of an 8.5-cm-long phantom containing a narrow single line triaryl methyl compound (trityl) solution at the 250 MHz imaging frequency. The image is compared to a standard single-field ESE image of the same phantom.

Results: For the phantom used in this study, transverse relaxation (T2e) electron spin-echo (ESE) images from multi-B acquisition are more uniform, contain less prominent artifacts, and have a better signal to noise ratio (SNR) compared to single-field T2e images.

Conclusions: The multi-B method is suitable for imaging of samples whose physical size restricts the applicability of the conventional single-field ESE imaging technique.

V© 2011 American Association of Physicists in Medicine. [DOI: 10.1118/1.3590365]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Electron spin-echo (ESE) imaging is a time-domain electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) modality that is capable of acquiring quantitative in vivo oxygen images,1,2 besides other pulse EPR oxygen imaging (EPRI) techniques.3,4 Due to size limitations, in vivo EPR imaging (continuous-wave or pulse) has traditionally been used for oxygen or redox status imaging of tumors or hearts in small rodents, typically mice and rats;5–12 although tumors grown in rabbits, which are similar in size to those in humans, have also been studied in recent years.13 Trityl distribution images of a whole mouse have also been acquired by use of free induction decay (FID)-based time-domain EPR imaging.14,15

In the case of ESE imaging, one also has to face the issue of the frequency bandwidth of the imager transmitter and receiver arms. Applied field gradients encode the object’s spatial information into signal frequency offsets. Therefore, a finite imaging bandwidth is equivalent to a limit on the object size for a given maximum gradient size, or vice versa. For continuous-wave EPR imaging, larger objects simply require proportionally larger magnetic field sweeps for a given gradient. This is a more straightforward solution, although time-domain techniques have a generally superior SNR acquired per unit time compared to continuous-wave EPR in imaging of trityls.

We have demonstrated that the multiple-stepped Zeeman field offset (multi-B) technique for extending the imager frequency bandwidth can be used for acquisition of images with larger gradient magnitudes, therefore improving the spatial resolution of the ESE image.17 Artifacts from frequency data truncation and noise amplification were shown to be minimized in this study as well. In this work, we describe how the multi-B technique can be applied to image objects with spatial dimensions comparable to those of human tumors.

In contrast to our high resolution study, different hardware and operating conditions were necessary for the large object study. A large resonator to accommodate the phantom was necessary for this study. Significantly higher power was necessary to precess spin magnetizations by appropriate angles. The nonuniformity of the pulse radiofrequency field over the larger dimensions of the resonator is a complicating factor. Extending the conventional single-field spin-echo technique to objects with a field gradient multiplied by the object size exceeding the imager frequency bandwidth will significantly degrade the image quality, as we will show. The use of multi-B in spin-echo EPRI can therefore be interpreted as equivalent to extending field sweeps in the case of continuous-wave EPRI. The major difference here is that the multifield extension is done in discrete steps where data from different field offsets (i.e., subprojections) overlap.
This overlap is taken into account, as described below, in optimal restoration of complete projections.

One of the major goals of EPR imaging is quantitative oximetry in human tumors. The work presented in this article provides a proof of the principle that the size limitation of the electron spin-echo imaging technique can be resolved, as far as the imaging bandwidth and required pulse radiofrequency power are concerned. This is not a trivial extension of the enhanced-resolution application of multiple Zeeman field offset ESE images, given the different hardware and operational conditions. Compared to the single-field ESE imaging, the multi-B technique produces images with twice better $T_2^*$ (and oxygen) resolution and drastic reduction in image artifacts, while requiring no major modification in imaging instruments or the acquisition time. This increase in object size is crucial for the extension of the ESE imaging technique to large animals, ultimately aiming toward EPR oxygen imaging of human tumors.

II. METHODS

II.A. Imaging phantom

An 8.5-cm-long borosilicate glass tube phantom [Fig. 1(a)] with an inner diameter of 15 mm, partially filled with 200 $\mu$M aqueous solution of deoxygenated, perdeuterated “Finland” triaryl methyl (trityl, or TAM) radical (methyl-tris [8-carboxy-2,2,6,6-tetakis[perdeuteromethyl] benzo [1,2-d:4,5-d'] bis[1,3] dithiol-4-yl]-trisodium salt [Fig. 1(b)], GE Healthcare, London, UK), was used in this study. The phantom was prepared by deoxygenating of the solution with five freeze-pump-thaw cycles and flame-sealing of the glass tube afterward. The phantom was placed horizontally inside the resonator during imaging.

II.B. RF resonator

A 75-mm-long cylindrical, one loop-one gap resonator with capacitive coupling, with an inner diameter of 25 mm, was used for our ESE imaging experiments [Fig. 1(c)]. The resonator body is made from acrylonitrile butadiene styrene (ABS) plastic coated with $\sim 12.5 \mu$m of copper and flashed with 2 $\mu$m of gold (General Super Plating, East Syracuse, NY). The quality factor ($Q$) of the resonator was reduced from the original value of 185 suitable for continuous-wave EPR imaging to 19.5 for multi-B ESE and 8.3 for single-B ESE imaging experiments. Lowering of the quality factor was achieved by installation of a 200 $\Omega$ ($Q \approx 19.5$) and a 500 $\Omega$ ($Q \approx 8.3$) shunt resistor across the resonator capacitor plates for single-B and multi-B experiments, respectively. The resonator $Q$ for the single-B experiment had to be low enough in order to provide sufficient imaging bandwidth, yet high enough so that we could apply short excitation and refocusing RF pulses with the available maximum pulse power from the pulse amplifier (1 KW). Note that using the multi-B technique, the resonator $Q$ did not have to be lowered to the extent of single-B image acquisition, recovering some of the signal-to-noise lost in partial object coverage.

II.C. EPR imager

Our multipurpose EPR imaging system operating at the 250 MHz central frequency is fully explained in a previous publication.$^{22}$ Changes in the transmitter-receiver switch box allowing use of equal-time $90^\circ$ ($\pi/2$, excitation) and $180^\circ$ ($\pi$, refocusing) pulses are documented by Sundramoorthy et al.$^{23}$ (See also Quine et al.$^{24}$). To maintain optimized pulse bandwidth in the single-B experiment, we used short high-bandwidth 35 ns pulses. Longer 65 ns pulses were used for the multi-B experiment. This reduced the power necessary and, to some extent, the high-power-induced artifacts. The operation frequency of the imager was adjusted from 250 MHz to the peak absorption frequency of the loaded resonator for each imaging experiment, varying typically 10–15 MHz.
II.D. Data acquisition and image reconstruction

Image acquisition parameters for single and multi-B protocols are presented in Table I.

The system acquisition window function (AWF) was measured before each imaging experiment. The AWF, or imager frequency profile, is a measure of the effectiveness with which different frequency components of the signal are detected. In principle the bandwidth of the imager could be estimated using empirical formulas based on pulse length, resonator Q, and individual detection component bandwidths; however, the AWF was more accurately obtained by directly measuring maximum spin-echo signal intensity at varying Zeeman field offsets in the absence of any applied field gradients.\(^{22}\) The imager AWFs for the single-B and multi-B acquisitions are shown in Fig. 2. Note that the single-B AWF is wider than the individual field step AWF in multi-B, due to the former’s lower Q and shorter pulse length. Multi-B effective bandwidth expansion is achieved by application of seven Zeeman field offsets covering from \(-300\) to \(+300\) micro-Tesla (\(\muT\)), moving the resonance frequency position. The increase in effective frequency bandwidth extends from an initial full-width half-maximum (FWHM) value of 7.3 MHz to 22.3 MHz. Imaging conditions used in the comparison were optimized for each technique to demonstrate the best images obtainable in each case in similar acquisition times.

We used the multistage filtered back-projection reconstruction technique to reconstruct all spatial images from projections, where a \(N\) (three) dimensional image is

![Fig. 2. Expansion of the frequency bandwidth in the multi-B scheme. The black solid line indicates the single-B AWF. Dashed curves represent individual field step AWFs for the multi-B acquisition. The heights are normalized with respect to the square root of the number of averages for each scheme. The extended multi-B bandwidth (gray solid curve) is calculated from individual field steps by the sum of squares (SoS) method.\(^{25}\) ](image_url)

Table I. The acquisition setting for ESE imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Single-B</th>
<th>Multi-B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition time</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53.3 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pure(^a)</td>
<td>34.9 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF power</td>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>1 KW (60 dBm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>2.43 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo times ((\tau))</td>
<td>Five (\tau) values, logarithmically spaced: 0.7, 1.05, 1.57, 2.34, 3.5 ((\mu)s)</td>
<td>(Same as single-B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetition times</td>
<td>18 (\mu)s</td>
<td>18 (\mu)s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field offsets</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>7 offsets, linearly spaced: (-300, -200, -100, 0, 100, 200, 300 (\mu)T)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echo averaging</td>
<td>112 000 per projection</td>
<td>112 000 per complete projection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applied gradient</td>
<td>10 mT/m</td>
<td>10 mT/m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angular sampling, (spatially uniform) (Ref. 32)</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>208 (1456 subprojections)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline acquisition</td>
<td>Once every two projections (105 baselines)</td>
<td>Once every two complete projections (105 baselines)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging bandwidth (FWHM)</td>
<td>12.4 MHz</td>
<td>7.3 MHz (22.3 MHz after multi-B extension)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resonator central frequency</td>
<td>235 MHz</td>
<td>239 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Pure acquisition time does not take into account the field-switching times and baseline acquisition. Therefore, is equal to (pulse sequence duration) \(\times\) (number of echo averages) \(\times\) (number of projections) \(\times\) (number of echo times).
reconstructed in N-1 (two) two-dimensional filtered back-projection steps in order to save computation time.\textsuperscript{26,27} Relevant image reconstruction parameters are given in Table II.

### II.E. Generating T\textsubscript{2e} images

As explained by Epel \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{22} and Seifi \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{17} for each image voxel, a least-squares exponential function was fitted to the five intensity values of each voxel obtained at five echo times. The exponential time constant was the estimated transverse magnetization relaxation time (T\textsubscript{2e}) within each image voxel. We used the fminsearch function in MATLAB (The Mathworks, Natick, MA 01760) to find the best exponential fit. The routine is based on the Nelder–Mead unconstrained nonlinear minimization.\textsuperscript{28}

An image mask for exponential fitting was selected: only image voxels with first tau intensities above 15\% of the maximum voxel intensity were chosen for fitting. The 15\% threshold is routinely used in our \textit{in vivo} EPR experiments; it is high enough to reduce contributions from noise in otherwise empty voxels located outside the phantom or animal body, and low enough to avoid signal voids in animal tissue and tumors, and it provides an outline of the image consistent with registered T\textsubscript{2}-weighted anatomic NMR images.

### II.F. Determining the T\textsubscript{2e} uncertainty

The T\textsubscript{2e} uncertainty was estimated from images of the same trityl phantom in the following manner: once the 15\% threshold mask for the image was determined, the two outer layers of the threshold mask were removed by use of erosion routines in MATLAB. T\textsubscript{2e} values of the remaining voxels in the mask were used for calculating the T\textsubscript{2e} statistics. To make the comparison between single-B and multi-B images accurate, we only selected the voxels that were present in both eroded masks from single-B and multi-B images to calculate and compare T\textsubscript{2e} statistical summaries. In this manner, effects of artifacts present outside the phantom boundaries due to, e.g., partial volume averaging, fringe field effects, or possible truncation artifacts are not taken into account when T\textsubscript{2e} statistics are compared.

### III. RESULTS

In Figs. 3(a)–3(d), two-dimensional cross-sections of the 3D intensity and T\textsubscript{2e} images are shown for the vertical slice passing through the center of the phantom image. Comparing images to the phantom shape from Fig. 1(a), the cusps on the two sides of the liquid surface are visible in both intensity and T\textsubscript{2e} images in both acquisition methods. Nonetheless, single-B images are noisy, especially on the periphery close to the fringe field of the resonator. Single-B and multi-B images contain artifacts

![Fig. 3. (a) The single-B first $\tau$ intensity slice, passing vertically through the phantom image center. The field of view is 10 cm. (b) The same slice as in (a), from the multi-B first-$\tau$ intensity image. The single-B and multi-B images are normalized according to the maximum signal from the AWF at the central frequency. (c) T2e image with 15% fitting selection threshold from the single-B acquisition. Arrowheads point at image artifacts at the center and periphery. (d) Similar to (c), from multi-B acquisition, with the arrowhead indicating the center artifact. The arrow on the right points at the reference value of T2e, obtained from nonimaging condition, with otherwise similar acquisition parameters (8.2 $\mu$s).](image-url)
at the center of the image matrix as well. These center artifacts often arise from low frequency noise components or baseline fluctuations. The size of these artifacts is considerably larger in the single-B image, resulting in corruption of central regions in the T2e image.

The uncertainties for T2e values in the voxels common to the single-B and multi-B images were 1.65 μs and 0.73 μs, respectively. Therefore, switching to multi-B resulted in more than a two-fold enhancement of the T2e resolution. The distributions of the T2e values for the 4271 overlap voxels in the two images are shown in Fig. 4.

Although it was not crucial for this study, we also examined the spatial resolution for single-B and multi-B images by using the edge spread function fitting method.29 2.1 mm (0.3 mm standard deviation) and 1.9 mm (0.3 mm standard deviation) were obtained for single-B and multi-B images, respectively. This result is expected due to the size of the voxel (0.78 mm) and the Ram-Lak reconstruction filter cutoff (0.5) as main bottlenecks in the spatial resolution of the images. Two millimeters is a typical spatial resolution for other in vivo physiologic imaging modalities, e.g., positron emission tomography. Clearly, the multi-B resolution was not inferior to that of the single-B image.

IV. DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated that the multiple-stepped Zeeman field bandwidth extension method can improve the acquisition of ESE images of large objects. The multi-B acquisition reduced the acquisition time by about 24%, due to the smaller number of averages needed for the baseline signal: each baseline signal is treated like a subprojection in terms of the number of echo averages, and multifield bandwidth extension for baseline signals was not required.

The multi-B intensity and T2e images were superior to the single-B image, with the T2e uncertainty reduced by more than a factor of 2. For in vivo imaging, the oxygen partial pressure is proportional to the change in the inverse of the trityl transverse relaxation time, i.e., ΔR2e. Therefore, a reduction in the T2e uncertainty by a factor of 2 is equivalent to a similar enhancement in the oxygen tension resolution. Based on calibration data published for the Finland trityl oxygen-versus-line width broadening,18,30 single-B and multi-B images have pO2 resolutions of about 6.3 and 2.8 Torr, respectively.

Based on visual inspection, multi-B images have substantially reduced artifacts. This benefit is partly due to large AWF corrections on the projection periphery in the single-B acquisition, which cause amplification of noise in frequencies far from the center. Along with the effects of angular interpolation, large AWF corrections can cause ring-like artifacts on the image periphery. Lesser artifacts in the multi-B image center are due to smaller baseline effects, because of a lower radiofrequency pulse power. We have observed that reduced applied pulse power results in a proportionally smaller baseline spurious signal. Although the baseline signal is measured every four full projections and subtracted, residual effects due to temporal fluctuations in the baseline cause artifacts in the image center. Reduction of the applied pulse power for multi-B therefore results in less intense image center artifacts. For in vivo imaging, lower pulse power is also advantageous because of safety limits on the amount of electromagnetic energy that can be deposited in the tissue during an imaging session.

The multi-B bandwidth extension might be useful in other time-domain EPR imaging modalities as well. For example, in the single-pulse free induction decay projection-based EPR imaging technique,31 this method may improve the image quality when multicentimeter samples are imaged. The advantages might not be as great as in the case of spin-echo EPR, though, because (1) the FID acquisition generally has a larger bandwidth, using only a single 90° excitation pulse, and (2) the higher resonator quality factor can prolong the resonator dead time, thereby distorting the FID signal that is detected shortly after the excitation pulse. On the other hand, for pure phase-encoding EPR techniques such as Single Point Imaging,32 also referred to as Constant Time Imaging, the frequency bandwidth limitation becomes less restrictive, and image acquisition is usually done without applying Zeeman offsets.

Another way that the multi-B technique can be utilized is for longitudinal relaxation (T1e) studies, e.g., the inversion recovery EPR oxygen imaging technique that is currently being developed in our lab. Similar to the spin-echo imaging, the inversion recovery also needs at least two pulses: one 180° inversion pulse, followed by a 90° pulse to rotate the remaining magnetization into the transverse plane for detection. In an acquisition machine optimized for spin-echo imaging, such as ours, one may be better off using the.
inversion recovery spin-echo technique, where a 180° rephasing pulse is added to the end of the pulse sequence, and the resulting echo signal is detected, diminishing the effect of dead time. Here, the use of multi-B is better justified because of the cumulative bandwidth limitations posed by all three applied radiofrequency pulses.

The multi-B acquisition technique was studied here in a setting different from that used for the study of high resolution capabilities of the spin-echo EPR technique and potentially with more important medical applications. As noted above, different hardware and power conditions were used. The use of lower power with this technique implies a lower specific absorption rate (SAR) for living tissue. It implies a more efficient use of the applied power for oxygen imaging. The multi-B technique as described here may enable the application of pulse EPR oxygen imaging to objects with sizes relevant to the eventual measurement of oxygen images in human tumors. The larger sample and resonator used here, considering the distortional effects of larger resonators, as well as the reduction of power deposition with simultaneous improvement of the transverse relaxation time variance indicate that, under the conditions of a large sample size, the multi-B technique substantially improves the spin-echo EPR oxygen image quality.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The multi-B ESE bandwidth expansion technique proved to be suitable for imaging of samples of large physical size, a size for which the object prevents efficient encoding of the spatial data into frequency in a single step. The eventual application of this imaging technique is to confined portions of human anatomy selectively infused with a spin probe. The multi-B technique is one step toward this goal.
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